Rates of Methane Formation from Carbon Dioxide and Hydrogen Over a Ruthenium Catalyst*

PETER J. LUNDE[†] AND FRANK L. KESTER

Hamilton Standard Division of United Aircraft Corporation, Windsor Locks, Connecticut 06096

Received January 10, 1973

The Sabatier Reaction,

 $4H_2 + CO_2 \rightleftharpoons 2H_2O + CH_4 + 43$ kcal

was examined experimentally at 1 atm using a 0.5% ruthenium on alumina catalyst. Data are given from 62 experimental runs made in a 4.15 cm³ isothermal reactor with from 0 to 85% conversion in the inlet feed gases and feed flow ratios ($H₂$ to $CO₂$) of 1.9 to 3.9, and temperatures from 400 to 680°F. Empirical correlations, based on modified gas phase kinetics, were made to describe the reaction rate over the experimental range.

INTRODUCTION

The catalytic hydrogenation of carbon dioxide to methane,

$$
4H_2(g) + CO_2(g) \rightarrow CH_4(g) + 2H_2O(g) + 43 \text{ kcal},
$$

(1)

sometimes called the Sabatier reaction (I), is of commercial interest for the manufacture of synthetic natural gas from the products of coal gasification. This paper developed from work performed under NASA Contract NAS 9-9844 to investigate the Sabatier reaction as a step in reclaiming oxygen within closed cycle aerospace life support systems.

The Sabatier reaction is a reversible, highly exothermic reaction which proceeds at a useful rate at the low temperatures required for high yields only when a catalyst is used. Dew, White, and Sliepcevitch (2) studied this reaction using a nickel catalyst. Other investigators have looked at this reaction at elevated pressures (3) .

* Presented: 163rd Nat. Meet. Amer. Chem. Soc., New York, NY, Nov., 1972.

i Current address: The Center for the Environment and Man, Inc. 275 Windsor Street, Hartford, CT 06120.

A review of earlier work is given by Emmett (4) . This work describes an experimental investigation of the reaction using a ruthenium catalyst, and derives a correlation describing the kinetics of this catalysis in the 400 to 700°F experimental temperature range.

Thermodynamics

Equilibrium compositions for hydrogen and carbon dioxide mixtures at 1 atm are shown in Fig. 1 using free energies from Wagman et al. (5). Carbon and carbon monoxide are possible products, as well as methane and water vapor. The reaction proceeds as shown in Eq. (1) for molar feed ratios $(H_2 \text{ to } CO_2)$ of over 3.5:1 at temperatures from 400 to 700°F. Low temperatures favor high conversions while temperatures above 700 allow carbon monoxide formation. As the molar feed ratio falls below $3.5:1$, carbon becomes thermodynamically stable at increasingly higher temperatures.

Catalyst Selection

Thompson (6) conducted a Sabatier catalyst screening program experimentally evaluating four catalysts:

Copyright @ 1973 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of renroduction in anv form reserved.

FIG. 1. Thermodynamic equilibrium products as a function of temperature for various H_2 : CO₂ mole ratios.

1. Nickel $(80%$ Ni and NiO) $($ on kieselguhr);

- 2. 0.5% ruthenium (on alumina);
- 3. 0.5% rhodium (on alumina);
- 4. 0.5% cobalt (on alumina).

Thompson found ruthenium and nickel

to be the most active catalysts for promoting the Sabatier reaction. Nickel, however, presented the following operating problems not present with ruthenium:

1. Slow deterioration over the test period. attributed to trace level sulfur poisoning.

2. Reactor startup in hydrogen was advisable to assure reduction of nickel to its most active form.

3. Carbon deposition was reported at 650 to 700°F.

Consequently a 0.5% ruthenium catalyst on $\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{8}$ in. cylindrical alumina pellets was selected for further investigation. \ddagger Superficial examination of the pellets indicated the ruthenium did not penetrate more than 0.1 mm into the alumina, indicating that pore diffusion was not likely to be important in the performance of this catalyst. The bulk density of the pellets was measured as 1.0 g/cc .

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The test reactor was a tube filled with catalyst which was held isothermal by immersion in a molten salt bath. It was made small so that the conversion of unreacted feed was low but measurable at the lowest operating temperature, minimizing the reaction heat released. At higher temperatures part of the hydrogen and carbon dioxide feed was passed through another reactor to provide a partly reacted feed thus minimizing reaction heat in the test reactor. In this manner the temperature differential between reactor wall and center was held to 20°F and usually much less.

Mass flow to the reactor was held steady for runs at several temperatures, giving data for calculation of the reaction activation energy. Additional runs were made at two temperatures to allow more precise determination of the basic reaction rate constant.

Feed flow ratios $(H_2 \text{ to } CO_2)$ of 2:1 and nearly 4:1 were investigated. Temperatures of 400 to 700°F were selected for data accumulation since at temperatures over 700°F the reaction is complicated by carbon monoxide formation, while 400°F is low enough to allow virtually complete conversion of the feed in a practical reactor.

A process gas chromatograph analyzed

feed and effluent gases. The chromatograph was calibrated by using pure $CO₂$, $H₂$, and $CH₄$ at several pressures in the 0-1 atm range. Since equal volume samples were used, the chromatographic peak heights corresponded to partial pressures of the calibrated constituents. Water was calibrated indirectly using Sabatier reactor effluent, in which the partia1 pressure of water vapor was necessarily exactly twice that of the methane which was already calibrated.

The test reactor used for the kinetic study was made from $\frac{1}{2}$ in. stainless steel tubing $(0.43 \text{ in.} \text{ i.d.} \times 1.75 \text{ in.})$. The catalyst charge of 3.58 g (about eighty $\frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{8}$) in. cylindrical alumina pellets coated with 0.5% ruthenium) filled the 4.15 cc reactor tube.

Table 1 shows the complete experimental data after preliminary processing. Table 2 shows the results of activation energy and reaction rate constant calculations using selected runs made at several temperatures. Table 3 shows the results of integration of other runs made at two bath temperatures to calcuIate a rate constant.

The lower inlet flow ratios of H_2 to $CO₂$ in each test series were within the range for which carbon deposition was thermodynamically stable (Fig. 1). No evidence for such deposition was observed in these tests in either performance degradation or posttest catalyst examination.

DISCUSSION

The actual mechanism of ruthenium catalysis in $CO₂$ methanation was not investigated in correlation of the data. Instead an empirical correlation was made using ordinary gas phase kinetics, but modified by an additional catalyst coefficient n. Thus:

$$
-\frac{dP_{\text{CO}_2}}{dt} = k \exp(-E_a/RT) \left\{ [P_{\text{CO}_2}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2}]^{4n} - \frac{[P_{\text{CH}_d}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2O}]^{2n}}{[K_e(T)]^n} \right\}, \quad (2)
$$

#Englehard type "E," Englehard Minerals and where $K_e(T) = \exp[(1.0/1.987)(56,000/T_k^2)]$ Chemicals Corp., Newark, NJ. $+ 34,633/T_k - 16.4 \ln T_k + 0.00557T_k$ +

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF ALL EXPERIMENTAL TEST RUNS

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF CALCULATIONS FOR RUNS 520.1 - 551.

EACTIN= 0.30320E 05

CATALYST COEFFICIENT= 0.22500E 00

THE AVERAGE REACTION RATE CONSTANT IS $0.1585E10$

33.165]. T_k is the gas temperature $({}^{\circ}\text{K})$.** The forward rate constant k , the activation energy E_a , and catalyst coefficient *n* are constants to be determined from the experimental data.

To find these constants Eq. (2) was rewritten and integrated over the reactor length, expressing space velocity as $S_r =$ $1/\tau$ with τ the contact time. Rearrangement

** This equation for equilibrium constant was derived from data in NBS Research Paper RP 1634 [Ref. (5)].

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF CALCULATIONS FOR RUNS 560 - 581

EACTIN= 0.30320E 05

CATALYST COEFFICIENT= 0.22500E CC

THE AVERAGE REACTION RATE CONSTANT IS $0.1769E - 10$

gave,

$$
k \exp(-E_a/RT) = S_v \int_{P_{\text{CO}_2}}^{P_{\text{CO}_2} \text{ out}}
$$

$$
\frac{-dP_{\text{CO}_2}}{[P_{\text{CO}_2}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2}]^{4n} - \{[P_{\text{CH}_4}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2 0}]^{2n} / [K_e(T)]^n\}} \equiv \exp Y, \quad (3)
$$

which was evaluated with a digital computer by using small values of $d P_{\text{co}_2}$ (i.e., ΔP_{CO_2} and stepping through the reactor using a trial value for n . After each increment corrected partial pressures P'_i calculated using, $P'_i = P_{i_{old}} +$ were $a_i \Delta P_{\text{CO}_2}$, where $i = \text{CO}_2$, H₂, CH₄, and H₂O, and

$$
a_{\text{CO}_2} = -1,
$$

\n
$$
a_{\text{H}_2} = -4,
$$

\n
$$
a_{\text{CH}_4} = +1,
$$

\n
$$
a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = +2.
$$

The partial pressures were then normalized so that the sum equaled the total pressure,

$$
P_{i_{\text{new}}} = P_i' \frac{\Sigma P_{i_{\text{old}}}}{\Sigma P_i'} \ (i = \text{CO}_2, H_2, \text{CH}_4, H_2\text{O}).
$$
\n(4)

This technique will not work if there is any pressure drop through the reactor. In such cases the very small pressure drop was eliminated mathematically by ratioing the outlet partial pressures upward to make the total outlet pressure equal to the total inlet pressure.

After $\exp(Y)$ has been evaluated, the rate expression for a particular run is then of the form

$$
\exp(Y) = k \exp(-E_a/RT). \tag{5}
$$

Taking logarithms of both sides,

$$
Y = -\frac{E_a}{R} \left[\frac{1}{T} \right] + \ln k, \tag{6}
$$

which is of the form $Y = mX + b$, so that a plot of Y vs $1/T$ for several runs forms a line with a slope $-E_a/R$ and a $1/T =$ O intercept of $ln k$. If the correlation is good the plot will show minimum scatter and good linearity when a proper value of n has been chosen.

Experimental Data Reduction

Reduced run data were further processed in Table 2 and 3 to determine values of the activation energy E_a , catalyst coefficient n, and reaction rate coefficient k. (Runs 519, 520, 521.1, 534, 566, 574 were deleted due to poor analyses or undesirable reaction conditions.)

The listed values of Y were calculated by the computer program according to Eq. (3)) and a least squares fit made according to Eq. (6) to determine the activation energy E_a . A plot of Eq. (6) for runs of Table 2 is shown as Fig. 2. A catalyst coefficient of 0.225 was used because it gave the most linear plot and the least scatter. The activation energy of 30,320 btu/lb mol $CO₂$ was determined from the slope $(-E_a/R)$ in Fig. 2. The individual reaction rate constants tabulated in Table 2 were calculated from Eq. (3) using this activation energy. An arithmetic average of these values for rate constant was $0.1585 \times$ 10¹⁰, which compares with 0.15664 \times 10¹⁰ determined by extrapolation to the ordinate of the line in Fig. 2 according to Eq. (6).

Table 3 summarizes the identical processing of the constant bath temperature runs used for reaction rate determination, using the catalyst coefficient of 0.225 and

FIG. 2. Activation energy determination using runs from Table 2.

activation energy of 30,320 btu/lb ma1 Correlation of the data gave CO, as determined above. The average rate constant for these runs was found to be 0.1769×10^{10} and this is taken as the pre- $E_a = 16.84$ kcal/gmol (30,320 btu/lb mol), ferred value since these runs were over a wider range of compositions than the runs used for activation energy determination. when P 's are expressed in atmospheres.

CONCLUSION

The Sabatier Reaction,

$4H_2 + CO_2 \rightleftharpoons 2H_2O + CH_4 + 43$ kcal

was examined experimentally at 1 atm using a 0.5% ruthenium on alumina catalyst. Data are given from 62 experimental runs made in a 4.15 cm³ isothermal reactor with from 0 to 85% conversion in the inlet feed gases and feed flow ratios $(H₂ to CO₂)$ of 1.9 to 3.9 , and temperatures from 400 to 680°F.

The reaction rate was found to be described by

$$
-\frac{dP_{\text{CO}_2}}{dt} = k \exp(-E_a/RT) \left\{ [P_{\text{CO}_2}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2}]^{4n} - \frac{[P_{\text{CH}_4}]^n [P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}]^{2n}}{[K_e(T)]^n} \right\}
$$

where $K_e(T) = \exp[(1.0/1.987)(56,000/T_k^2)]$ $+ 34,633/T_k - 16.4 \ln T_k + 0.00557T_k) +$ 33.1651.

$$
n = 0.225,
$$

\n $E_a = 16.84$ kcal/gmol (30,320 btu/lb mc
\n $k = 0.1769 \times 10^{10}$ hr⁻¹ atm^{-0.125},

REFERENCES

- 1. SABATIER, P., AND SENDERENS, J. B., C. R. Acad. Sci. 134, 689 (1902).
- DEW, J. M., WHITE, R. R., AND SLIEPCEVITCH, C. M., Ind. Eng. Chem. 47, 140-146 (1955).
- KARN, F. S., SHULTZ, J. F., ANDERSON, R. B., Ind. Eng. Chem., Prod. Res. Develop. 4, 245 (1965).
- EMMETT, P. H. (Ed.), "Catalysis," Vol. 4, pp. 299, 473. Reinhold, New York (1951).
- 5. WAGMAN, D. D., KILPATRICK, J. E., TAYLOR, J. T., PITZER, K. S., AND ROSSINI, F. D. J. Rex. Nat. Bur. Stand., RP 1634, 34, 143 (1945).
- 6. THOMPSON, B., JR., Technical Documentary Report No. FDL-TDR-64-22. "Investigations of Catalytic Reactions for CO, Reduction," Parts l-5, 1964-67. Published by: Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Research and Technology Division, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. On sale to the general public from: Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC.